

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

STATE ELECTION

OFFICIAL SPECIMEN BALLOT

AVON

1370/1370

Tuesday, November 4, 2014

Penalty for willfully defacing, tearing down, removing or destroying a List of Candidates or Specimen Ballot - fine not exceeding One Hundred Dollars.

Secretary of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write the person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

SENATOR IN CONGRESS

Vote for ONE

EDWARD J. MARKEY ..... Democratic Candidate for Re-election

BRIAN J. HEHR ..... Republican 31 Elmwood Rd., Hopkinton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

Vote for ONE

BAKER and POLITO ..... Republican

COAKLEY and KERRIGAN ..... Democratic

FALCHUK and JENNINGS ..... Independent/Independent Party

LIVELY and SAUNDERS ..... Independent

MCCORMICK and POST ..... Independent

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

ATTORNEY GENERAL

Vote for ONE

MAURA HEALEY ..... Democratic 40 Withings St., Boston

JOHN B. MILLER ..... Republican 40 Winslow Ave., Woburn

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

SECRETARY OF STATE

Vote for ONE

WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN ..... Democratic 45 Lake St., Boston

DAVID D'ARCANGELO ..... Republican 183 Cambridge St., Malden

DANIEL L. FACTOR ..... Green-Independence 11 Davis Rd., Andover

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

TREASURER

Vote for ONE

DEBORAH B. GOLDBERG ..... Democratic 37 Linden Rd., Springfield

MICHAEL JAMES HEFFERNAN ..... Republican 244 Grand St., Weymouth

IAN T. JACKSON ..... Green-Independence 282 Highland Ave., Arlington

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

AUDITOR

Vote for ONE

SUZANNE M. BUMP ..... Democratic 440 North Plain Rd., Great Barrington

PATRICIA S. SAINT AUBIN ..... Republican 65 South May, North

MK MERELICE ..... Green-Rainbow 22 White Pt., Beaufort

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS

Vote for ONE

STEPHEN F. LYNCH ..... Democratic 35 G St., Boston

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

COUNCILLOR

SECOND DISTRICT

Vote for ONE

ROBERT L. JUBINVILLE ..... Democratic 487 Adams St., Milton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT

NORFOLK DISTRICT & RYMONTH DISTRICT

Vote for ONE

BRIAN A. JOYCE ..... Democratic 85 Hinckley Rd., Milton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT

SIXTH NORFOLK DISTRICT

Vote for ONE

WILLIAM C. GALVIN ..... Democratic 45 Kelly Way, Canton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

NORFOLK DISTRICT

Vote for ONE

MICHAEL W. MORRISSEY ..... Democratic 111 Lanesdown St., Canton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

REGISTER OF PROBATE

NORFOLK COUNTY

Vote for ONE

PATRICK W. McDERMOTT ..... Democratic 55 Danvers Ave., Quincy

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

COUNTY TREASURER

NORFOLK COUNTY

Vote for ONE

JOSEPH A. CONNOLLY ..... Democratic 31 Middle Rd., Weymouth

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

COUNTY COMMISSIONER

NORFOLK COUNTY

Vote for ONE

PETER H. COLLINS ..... Democratic 5 Hobart Wood Park, Milton

MICHAEL J. SOTER ..... Republican 49 Fox Run Rd., Southampton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

You may vote for every position on the One Hills Regional Vocational School District Commission, regardless where you reside in the District.

REGIONAL VOCATIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

BLUE HILLS (4 YEARS) NORTH

Vote for ONE

FRANCIS J. FISTORI ..... 71 West Spring St., Avon

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

REGIONAL VOCATIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

BLUE HILLS (4 YEARS) SOUTH

Vote for ONE

ADAM G. MAGUIRE, JR. ..... 24 Montague St., Canton

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

REGIONAL VOCATIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

BLUE HILLS (4 YEARS) SOUTH

Vote for ONE

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

REGIONAL VOCATIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE

BLUE HILLS (4 YEARS) SOUTH

Vote for ONE

WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY

QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 6, 2014?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would eliminate the requirement that the state's gasoline tax, which was 24 cents per gallon as of September 2013, (1) be adjusted every year by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index over the preceding year, but (2) not be adjusted below 21.5 cents per gallon.

A YES VOTE would eliminate the requirement that the state's gas tax be adjusted annually based on the Consumer Price Index.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws regarding the gas tax.

YES

NO

QUESTION 2 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 6, 2014?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would expand the state's beverage container deposit law, also known as the Bottle Bill, to require deposits on containers for all non-alcoholic non-carbonated drinks in liquid form intended for human consumption, except beverages primarily derived from dairy products, infant formula, and FDA approved medicines. The proposed law would not cover containers made of paper-based biodegradable material and aseptic multi-material packages such as juice boxes or pouches.

The proposed law would require the state Secretary of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA) to adjust the container deposit amount every five years to reflect (to the nearest whole cent) changes in the consumer price index, but the value could not be set below five cents.

The proposed law would increase the minimum handling fee that beverage distributors must pay dealers for each properly returned empty beverage container, which was 2 1/4 cents as of September 2013, to 3 1/2 cents. It would also increase the minimum handling fee that bottlers must pay distributors and dealers for each properly returned empty reusable beverage container, which was 1 cent as of September 2013, to 3 1/2 cents. The Secretary of EEA would review the fee amounts every five years and make appropriate adjustments to reflect changes in the consumer price index.

CONTINUE ON BACK

VOTE BOTH SIDES

as well as changes in the costs incurred by redemption centers. The proposed law defines a redemption center as any business whose primary purpose is the redemption of beverage containers and that is not ancillary to any other business.

The proposed law would direct the Secretary of EEA to issue regulations allowing small dealers to seek exemptions from accepting empty deposit containers. The proposed law would define small dealer as any person or business, including the operator of a vending machine, who sells beverages in beverage containers to consumers, with a contiguous retail space of 3,000 square feet or less, excluding office and stock room space, and fewer than four locations under the same ownership in the Commonwealth. The proposed law would require that the regulations consider at least the health, safety, and convenience of the public, including the distribution of dealers and redemption centers by population or by distance or both.

The proposed law would set up a state Clean Environment Fund to receive certain unclaimed container deposits. The Fund would be used, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature, to support programs such as the proper management of solid waste, water resource protection, parkland, urban forestry, air quality and climate protection.

The proposed law would allow a dealer, distributor, redemption center or bottler to refuse to accept any beverage container that is not marked as being refundable in Massachusetts.

The proposed law would take effect on April 22, 2015.

**A YES VOTE** would expand the state's beverage container deposit law to require deposits on containers for all non-alcoholic, non-carbonated drinks with certain exceptions, increase the associated handling fees, and make other changes to the law.

**A NO VOTE** would make no change in the laws regarding beverage container deposits.

YES

NO

### QUESTION 3

#### LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 6, 2014?

##### SUMMARY

This proposed law would (1) prohibit the Massachusetts Gaming Commission from issuing any license for a casino or other gaming establishment with table games and slot machines, or any license for a gaming establishment with slot machines; (2) prohibit any such casino or slots gaming under any such licenses that the Commission might have issued before the proposed law took effect; and (3) prohibit wagering on the simulcasting of live greyhound races.

The proposed law would change the definition of "illegal gaming" under Massachusetts law to include wagering on the simulcasting of live greyhound races, as well as table games and slot machines at Commission-licensed casinos, and slot machines at other Commission-licensed gaming establishments. This would make those types of gaming subject to existing state laws providing criminal penalties for, or otherwise regulating or prohibiting, activities involving illegal gaming.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

**A YES VOTE** would prohibit casinos, any gaming establishment with slot machines, and wagering on simulcast greyhound races.

**A NO VOTE** would make no change in the current laws regarding gaming.

YES

NO

### QUESTION 4

#### LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 6, 2014?

##### SUMMARY

This proposed law would entitle employees in Massachusetts to earn and use sick time according to certain conditions.

Employees who work for employers having eleven or more employees could earn and use up to 40 hours of paid sick time per calendar year, while employees working for smaller employers could earn and use up to 40 hours of unpaid sick time per calendar year.

An employee could use earned sick time if required to miss work in order (1) to care for a physical or mental illness, injury or medical condition affecting the employee or the employee's child, spouse, parent, or parent of a spouse; (2) to attend routine medical appointments of the employee or the employee's child, spouse, parent, or parent of a spouse; or (3) to address the effects of domestic violence on the employee or the employee's dependent child. Employees would earn one hour of sick time for every 30 hours worked, and would begin accruing those hours on the date of hire or on July 1, 2015, whichever is later. Employees could begin to use earned sick time on the 90th day after hire.

The proposed law would cover both private and public employers, except that employees of a particular city or town would be covered only if, as required by the state constitution, the proposed law were made applicable by local or state legislative vote or by appropriation of sufficient funds to pay for the benefit. Earned paid sick time would be compensated at the same hourly rate paid to the employee when the sick time is used.

Employees could carry over up to 40 hours of unused sick time to the next calendar year, but could not use more than 40 hours in a calendar year. Employers would not have to pay employees for unused sick time at the end of their employment. If an employee missed work for a reason eligible for earned sick time, but agreed with the employer to work the same number of hours or shifts in the same or next pay period, the employee would not have to use earned sick time for the missed time, and the employer would not have to pay for that missed time. Employers would be prohibited from requiring such an employee to work additional hours to make up for missed time, or to find a replacement employee.

Employers could require certification of the need for sick time if an employee used sick time for more than 24 consecutively scheduled work hours. Employers could not delay the taking of or payment for earned sick time because they have not received the certification. Employees would have to make a good faith effort to notify the employer in advance if the need for earned sick time is foreseeable.

Employers would be prohibited from interfering with or retaliating based on an employee's exercise of earned sick time rights, and from retaliating based on an employee's support of another employee's exercise of such rights.

The proposed law would not override employers' obligations under any contract or benefit plan with more generous provisions than those in the proposed law. Employers that have their own policies providing as much paid time off, usable for the same purposes and under the same conditions, as the proposed law would not be required to provide additional paid sick time.

The Attorney General would enforce the proposed law, using the same enforcement procedures applicable to other state wage laws, and employees could file suits in court to enforce their earned sick time rights. The Attorney General would have to prepare a multilingual notice regarding the right to earned sick time, and employers would be required to post the notice in a conspicuous location and to provide a copy to employees. The state Executive Office of Health and Human Services, in consultation with the Attorney General, would develop a multilingual outreach program to inform the public of the availability of earned sick time.

The proposed law would take effect on July 1, 2015, and states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

**A YES VOTE** would entitle employees in Massachusetts to earn and use sick time according to certain conditions.

**A NO VOTE** would make no change in the laws regarding earned sick time.

YES

NO

### QUESTION 5 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon Congress to propose an amendment to the U.S. Constitution affirming that 1) rights protected under the Constitution are the rights of natural persons only and 2) both Congress and the states may place limits on political contributions and political spending?

YES

NO

1370/1370

YOU HAVE NOW COMPLETED VOTING