## The Commonwealth of Massachusetts STATE ELECTION

Milliam Manin Jaluin
SECRETARY OF THE
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

# OFFICIAL ABSENTEE BALLOT

**AVON** 

1368/1368

### **TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2010**

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write that person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

write that person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.		
GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR  PATRICK and MURRAY ++++++++Bemografic  BAKER and TISE1 +++++++++++Republican  CAHILL and LOSCOCCO ++++++++++independent	REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS NINTH DISTRICT STEPHEN F. LYNCH + + + + + + + + + + + + + Democratic 55 6 St., Boston VERNON M. HARRISON + + + + + + + + *Republican 318 Plain St., Braintree PHLIP DUNKELBARGER + + + + + + + + + Independent 125 Sunrise Rd., Westwood DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	SHERIFF NORFOLK COUNTY WICHAEL G. BELLOTTI +++++++++Democratic 33 Bayberry Rd. Quincy Candidate for Re-election WILLIAM J. FARRETTA +++++++++Republican 38 House Rack Rd. Weymouth DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.  WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.  WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  ATTORNEY GENERAL  Vote for ONE  MARTHA COAKLEY + + + + + + + + + + + Demogratic 45 Condidate for Re-election	COUNCILLOR SECOND DISTRICT KELLY A. TIMILTY + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + Democratic 103 Brookdale Ave. Decham STEVEN M. GLOVSKY + + + + + + + + + + + + Republican 36 Shaw Or, Wayland RICHARD MITCHELL + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + Unenrolled 204 East Central St., Natick	COUNTY COMMISSIONER  NORFOLK COUNTY  PETER H. COLLINS ++++++++++++++Democratic SHarbor View Park, Milton  DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.  WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
JAMES P. MCKENNA +++++++++++ Republican 28 Miles St., Millibury  DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.  WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  SECRETARY OF STATE  WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN ++++++ Democratic 46 Lake St., Boston  Candidate for Re-election	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.  WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT  NORFOLK, BRISTOL & PLYMOUTH DISTRICT  BRIAN A. JOYCE + + + + + + + + + + + + + Democratic 95 Hinckley Rd., Milkon Gandidate for Re-election  ROSE TE. BURR, JR. + + + + + + + + + + + Republican 224 Force Pond. Canton	You may vote for every position on the Blue Hills Regional Vocational School District Committee, regardless of where you reside in the District.  REGIONAL VOCATIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE BLUE HILLS (4 YEARS) AVON Vote for ONE FRANCIS J. FISTORI + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
WILLIAM C. CAMPBELL + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.  WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT  Vote for ONE  WILLIAM C. GALVIN +++++++++++ Democratic 40 Beachie Way, Canton  Candidate for Re-Bection	REGIONAL VOCATIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE BLUE HILLS (4 YEARS) BRAINTRE Vote for ONE GERMANO JOHN SILVEIRA ++++++++++ 20 Tremont St., Braintree ERIC C. ERSKINE ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
TREASURER  STEVEN GROSSMAN ++++++++++Democratic 30 Huminington Rd., Newton  KARYN E. POLITO +++++++++++Republican 11 Coachman Ridge Rd., Shrewsbury  DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.  WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.  WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY  DISTRICT ATTORNEY  NORFOLK DISTRICT  MICHAEL W. MORRISSEY ++++++++Democratic  111 Lansdowne St., Dallncy	REGIONAL VOCATIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE BLUE HILLS (4 YEARS) CANTON Vote for ONE AIDAN G. MAGUIRE, JR. +++++++++++++  55 Strawberry La, Canton Candidate for Re-election DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.  WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
AUDITOR  SUZANNE M. BUMP ++++++++++++Democratic 499 North Plain Rd., Great Barrington  MARY Z. CONNAUGHTON ++++++++Republican 1 Tomkins Ln., Framingham  NATHANAEL ALEXANDER FORTUNE +Green-Rainbow 152 Westbrook Rd., Whately  DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	JOHN F. COFFEY +++++++++++++ Independent 57 Parker Rd, Needham  DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.  USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.  WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	REGIONAL VOCATIONAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE BLUE HILLS (4 YEARS) DEDHAM Vote for ONE  JOSEPH A. PASCARELLA + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +

### QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 2010?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would remove the Massachusetts sales tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol, where the sale of such beverages and alcohol or their mportation into the state is already subject to a separate excise tax under state law. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2011.

A YES VOTE would remove the state sales tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol where their sale or importation into the state is subject to an excise tax

A NO VOTE would make no change in the state sales tax on alcoholic beverages and alcohol.

YES

NO

#### **QUESTION 2** LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 2010? **SUMMARY** 

This proposed law would repeal an existing state law that allows a qualified organization wishing to build government-subsidized housing that includes low-or moderate-income units to apply for a single comprehensive permit from a city or town's zoning board of appeals (ZBA), instead of separate permits from each local agency or official having jurisdiction over any aspect of the proposed housing. The repeal would take effect on January 1, 2011, but would not stop or otherwise affect any proposed housing that had already received both a comprehensive permit and a building permit for at least one unit.

Under the existing law, the ZBA holds a public hearing on the application and considers the recommendations of local agencies and officials. The ZBA may grant a comprehensive permit that may include conditions or requirements concerning the height, site plan, size, shape, or building materials of the housing. Persons aggrieved by the ZBA's decision to grant a permit may appeal it to a court. If the ZBA denies the permit or grants it with conditions or requirements that make the housing uneconomic to build or to operate, the applicant may appeal to the state Housing Appeals Committee (HAC).

After a hearing, if the HAC rules that the ZBA's decision issuing a comprehensive permit with conditions or requirements made the housing uneconomic to build or operate and was not consistent with local needs, the HAC orders the ZBA to modify or remove any such condition or requirement so as to make the proposal no longer uneconomic. The HAC cannot order the ZBA to issue any permit that would allow the housing to fall below minimum safety stan-

make the proposal no longer uneconomic. The HAC cannot order the ZBA to issue any permit that would allow the housing to fall below minimum safety standards or site plan requirements. If the HAC rules that the ZBA's action was consistent with local needs, the HAC must uphold it even if it made the housing uneconomic. The HAC's decision is subject to review in the courts.

A condition or requirement makes housing "uneconomic" if it would prevent a public agency or non-profit organization from building or operating the housing except at a financial loss, or it would prevent a limited dividend organization from building or operating the housing without a reasonable return on its

A ZBA's decision is "consistent with local needs" if it applies requirements that are reasonable in view of the regional need for low- and moderate-income housing and the number of low-income persons in the city or town, as well as the need to protect health and safety, promote better site and building design, and preserve open space, if those requirements are applied as equally as possible to both subsidized and unsubsidized housing. Requirements are considered "consistent with local needs" if more than 10% of the city or town's housing units are low- or moderate-income units or if such units are on sites making up at least 1.5% of the total private land zoned for residential, commercial, or industrial use in the city or town. Requirements are also considered "consistent with local needs" if the application would result, in any one calendar year, in beginning construction of low- or moderate-income housing on sites making up more than 0.3% of the total private land zoned for residential, commercial, or industrial use in the city or town, or on ten acres, whichever is larger.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would repeal the state law allowing the issuance of a single comprehensive permit to build housing that includes low- or moderate-income units. A NO VOTE would make no change in the state law allowing issuance of such a comprehensive permit.

> YES ( NO

**QUESTION 3** LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 4, 2010?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would reduce the state sales and use tax rates (which were 6.25% as of September 2009) to 3% as of January 1, 2011. It would make the This proposed law would reduce the state sales and use tax rates (which were 6.25% as of September 2009) to 3% as of January 1, 2011. It would make the same reduction in the rate used to determine the amount to be deposited with the state Commissioner of Revenue by non-resident building contractors as security for the payment of sales and use tax on tangible personal property used in carrying out their contracts.

The proposed law provides that if the 3% rates would not produce enough revenues to satisfy any lawful pledge of sales and use tax revenues in connection with any bond, note, or other contractual obligation, then the rates would instead be reduced to the lowest level allowed by law.

The proposed law would not affect the collection of moneys due the Commonwealth for sales, storage, use or other consumption of tangible personal property or services occurring before January 1, 2011.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A VES VOTE would reduce the state sales and use tax rates to 3%

A YES VOTE would reduce the state sales and use tax rates to 3%.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the state sales and use tax rates.

YES

NO

1368